

# The Formation Of Cooperative Behaviours In Early Childhood: Approaches And Teaching Strategies

**Djurayeva Dilfuza**

Senior lecturer at department of Methodology of preschool, primary and special education at Navoi regional National Centre for training teachers in new methodologies, Uzbekistan

**Received:** 19 October 2025 **Accepted:** 10 November 2025 **Published:** 15 December 2025

## ABSTRACT

This study examines the emergence of cooperative behaviors in early infancy and delineates pedagogical methodologies and instructional techniques that promote their cultivation in educational contexts and routine interactions. Cooperation is defined as a collection of social behaviors that encompass shared objectives, reciprocal support, synchronized actions, empathy, and emotional management in interactions with peers and adults. Utilizing socio-cultural, constructivist, social learning, and ecological frameworks, the paper contends that cooperative behavior is not an incidental outcome of maturity but a developmental milestone that arises from engagement in structured collaborative activities. The theoretical section integrates the insights of Vygotsky, Piaget, Bandura, and Bronfenbrenner, emphasizing the significance of directed participation, peer interaction, modeling, and environmental affordances. The work employs a narrative assessment of worldwide research on early childhood collaboration and practice-oriented accounts from preschool and early primary settings. The outcomes section lists the most important things that need to happen for cooperation to happen, such as organized cooperative play, working together to solve problems, project activities, teaching social-emotional skills directly, and working with families. The conversation stresses how important it is for teachers to be mindful, provide sensitive scaffolding, and create spaces that strike a balance between independence and dependency. The research finds that the early development of cooperative behaviors has enduring effects on academic performance, social inclusion, and citizenship, necessitating rigorous professional development for educators to effectively execute cooperation-oriented pedagogy.

**Keywords:** Collaboration; early infancy; social competency; educational techniques; teaching tactics; socio-cultural theory; cooperative play.

## INTRODUCTION

The ability to collaborate with others is widely seen as an essential skill for navigating modern societies marked by complexity, interconnectedness, and swift transformation. Cooperative behavior is the basis for many tasks that need people to work together, such as addressing problems at work and participating in democracy in public life. These talents do not develop abruptly throughout adolescence or maturity. They originate in early infancy, when children initially acquire the skills to share resources, coordinate play, settle conflicts, and participate in collaborative projects with peers and adults. It is important to know how

cooperative behaviors develop at this time in order to create educational experiences that help people become socially competent for life.

Preliminary research in early development indicates that newborns exhibit basic kinds of shared attention and proto-cooperative behaviors when they follow another individual's gaze, track pointing motions, or engage in simple social activities such as turn-taking routines. As kids become older and start preschool, their interactions with other kids get more complicated. As time goes on, parallel play gives way to associative and cooperative play,

in which kids share resources, roles, and goals. But these kinds of changes don't happen on their own. They rely on a lot of things, such as personality, language skills, parenting styles, cultural standards, and the quality of early schooling. Some youngsters effortlessly participate in cooperative activities, whereas others tend to be more reclusive, exhibit disruptive behavior, or find it challenging to adapt to group expectations.

From a theoretical standpoint, many traditions underscore unique mechanisms in the establishment of collaboration. Socio-cultural theory, linked to Vygotsky and his adherents, posits that cooperation arises from engagement in collaborative activities within the zone of proximal development. Adults and more capable peers direct children's behavior, exemplify cooperative techniques, and progressively delegate responsibilities to them. Constructivist theory, based on Piaget, emphasizes the significance of peer conflict and negotiation in cognitive and moral development, positing that children create a knowledge of reciprocity and fairness via interactions with peers. Social learning theorists, including Bandura, emphasize observational learning and reinforcement, positing that youngsters emulate cooperative models and are predisposed to replicate behaviors that receive rewards from adults or peers. Ecological methods, exemplified by Bronfenbrenner, contextualize behaviors within interconnected systems of family, school, and community, positing that chances for cooperation are contingent upon expansive social structures.

Even while theory has become better, teachers still have to deal with real-world problems. They want to know what kinds of activities work best to encourage collaboration, how to help kids who are violent or withdrawn, and how to balance the need for individual freedom with the objective of group harmony. Also, educational practices that put standardized academic results first can cut down on time for play, projects, and social-emotional development, which can make it harder for students to practice working together. It is essential to delineate explicit educational frameworks and specific instructional methods that facilitate the deliberate cultivation of cooperative behaviors, rather than relegating social development to serendipity.

The purpose of this article is to integrate current understanding on the development of cooperative behaviors in early life and to convert this knowledge into pedagogical frameworks and instructional practices

appropriate for preschool and early primary settings. The main point is that cooperation grows best in places where shared goals, shared responsibility, and mutual support are built into everyday activities, where adults model and support collaborative skills, and where families and schools work together. The research aims to enhance the coherence and evidence-based methodologies in early social education by elucidating these factors.

The current study employs a narrative review style, emphasizing conceptual integration and instructional consequences above a comprehensive citation of all existing empirical findings. Sources encompass seminal theoretical texts on child development, recent research articles in developmental psychology and early childhood education, and practical publications detailing treatments and classroom practices designed to foster collaboration. Studies focusing on children aged three to eight years are prioritized, as this age range encompasses the transition from preschool to early primary schooling, during which cooperative behaviors are most pronounced in group contexts.

We looked through academic databases and specialist publications for articles that included phrases like "early childhood cooperation," "cooperative behavior," "cooperative play," "social competence," "peer interaction," and "cooperative learning in preschool." We included books and monographs that offered theoretical syntheses to give a wider context in which to understand particular discoveries. To get a better idea of what it's really like to use cooperation-based education, we looked at practice-oriented literature from professional organizations and early childhood networks.

The analysis was done in three steps. The initial step concentrated on elucidating conceptual underpinnings by analyzing how various writers delineate cooperation, differentiate it from other ideas such as prosocial behavior and sharing, and articulate its developmental trajectory. The second stage identified environmental and relational elements related with cooperative behavior, encompassing adult-child interactions, peer dynamics, classroom structure, and familial effects. The third stage identified from the literature particular teaching strategies and pedagogical methods that have been demonstrated or contended to facilitate the development of cooperation, including structured cooperative play, small-group projects, explicit instruction in social-emotional skills, and reflection on group dynamics.

Instead of combining effect sizes or testing hypotheses, the narrative review technique seeks to bring together different data into a single model that might help teachers. This methodology is especially suitable for intricate, context-sensitive phenomena such as cooperative behavior, which cannot be comprehensively represented by singular variables in controlled trials. The review is also aware of the weaknesses in the data that is available, such as the fact that most studies are based on small samples, are specialized to certain cultures, and use different methods to measure cooperation. These aspects are taken into account while interpreting the data and making suggestions.

The literature evaluation indicates that the emergence of cooperative behaviors in early infancy is contingent upon the interaction between developmental abilities and environmental opportunities. Children's developing skills in language, perspective-taking, and self-regulation lay the groundwork for collaboration; nevertheless, these skills must be stimulated by engagement in socially structured activities. Three main patterns come up as very helpful: playing together with shared aims and complementing roles, working together to solve problems, and adults helping kids think about their social experiences.

In the realm of play, collaborative situations offer an organic setting for the cultivation of turn-taking, sharing, coordination, and conflict resolution skills. In socio-dramatic play, kids pretend to be people like family members, shopkeepers, or firemen. This helps them align their understanding of the made-up scenario and plan their actions appropriately. Research indicates that when adults enhance play with open-ended materials, propose intricate topics, and occasionally assume roles, children participate in more prolonged and advanced collaboration. It seems that having clear but flexible structures, such agreements on roles, basic rules, and shared goals, makes it easier for people to work together than having no structure at all, which can lead to isolated or chaotic play.

Joint problem-solving exercises also have a big role in developing cooperative behaviors. When kids are given problems that are too hard for one person to solve but not too hard for two or three people to work on together, they want to share resources, talk about their ideas, and come up with plans. For example, you might create a bridge out of blocks that can hold a toy, put together a puzzle with a lot of pieces, or arrange the layout of a garden. For these activities to work, everyone has to be clear about what they

want to achieve, be able to break them down into smaller tasks, and be prepared to listen to and respond to each other's ideas. Studies show that adult assistance is quite important in the early stages of these kinds of jobs. For example, instructors help kids make plans, divide up chores, and take turns, and then slowly stop helping when kids learn how to do these things on their own.

A third significant pattern pertains to the contemplation of social encounters. Just doing things together doesn't mean that kids will learn general things about working together. Teachers who lead short talks before and after group projects help kids understand what working together means. They could ask questions about what the group was trying to do, how they assisted each other, what problems came up, and how they were solved. This guided contemplation helps people become more aware of their own thinking about how they work together and encourages good behavior by acknowledging and valuing it. It also gives people a chance to deal with bad things that have happened to them, such as being left out or having a fight, in a positive way.

The adult's involvement is fundamental in all of these patterns. Teachers and caregivers who show cooperative behaviors, such as listening to kids, making decisions together instead of forcing them, recognizing feelings, and sharing tasks, make it seem natural and important for kids to work together. They also help kids get along with each other by stepping in when required to stop violence, proposing other ways to do things, and encouraging kids to show empathy and justice. Importantly, competent adults do not take over activities that children can do on their own; instead, they give just enough help to keep the process going, which lets children feel like they have control and are capable.

The literature examined also highlights the impact of familial and cultural circumstances. Kids who grow up in households where helping one other, making decisions together, and sharing duties are normal are likely to be more cooperative in preschool. Cultural attitudes on collectivism or individualism affect how people expect others to work together, compete, and be independent. Educational techniques that are in line with these larger ideals and also provide kids chances to learn new social skills seem to be more long-lasting than those that don't take cultural patterns into account.

Finally, research shows that early cooperative experiences

are linked to better academic performance, more acceptance by peers, and less behavioral difficulties in the long run. Kids who learn to collaborate with others while they're young are better able to handle the social demands of school life and group-based learning in later grades. This suggests that methodologies and pedagogical tactics that emphasize collaboration do not conflict with cognitive objectives but rather enhance them.

The results of the narrative review indicate several implications for theory and practice. Theoretically, they endorse an interactionist perspective on cooperative behavior as a construct arising at the convergence of individual development and social structure. The observed trends cannot be solely attributed to maturation or environmental structure. To be able to cooperate in a meaningful way, kids need particular cognitive and emotional skills. However, these skills are improved by taking part in well-planned cooperative activities. This reciprocal relationship reflects socio-cultural notions of internalization and emphasizes the significance of offering substantial collaboration experiences during critical developmental phases.

In practice, the review indicates that educators ought to see collaboration not only as a byproduct, but as a definitive educational aim. When everyday routines and curricula are mostly based on individual chores and performance, there aren't many chances for people to work together. On the other hand, when classrooms are set up for small-group work, shared projects, and cooperative play, kids get to experience negotiating roles, sharing resources, and settling arguments over and over again. This doesn't mean that every activity has to be done together. Individual discovery and freedom are still very important. The most important thing is to make sure that children have a regular rhythm of working together and alone, as well as resting, so they may learn to be responsible for themselves and for the group.

Because adult mediation is so important, instructors and caregivers need to have certain skills. They need to be able to see how the group works, see when a youngster takes over or pulls away, and step in to help everyone. To do this, you need to be sensitive, patient, and have a lot of different ways to do things, such rephrasing what kids say, using polite language, giving guidelines for taking turns, or asking a quiet child to speak out. Professional development programs that only teach curricular information are not enough. They should also teach social-emotional

facilitation, conflict resolution, and reflective discourse. Video-based coaching, peer observation, and collaborative planning among instructors can facilitate the development of these abilities.

It is also crucial to pay attention to the cultural background. Cooperative behaviors are not value-neutral; they are rooted in concepts of hierarchy, gender roles, and expectations of compliance or assertiveness. In some situations, it may be okay for kids to question adults and other kids, but in others, it may be seen as rude. Teachers need to be careful when dealing with these conflicts. They need to make sure that their cooperative practices are in line with local values while also emphasizing concepts of respect, inclusivity, and justice that go beyond any one tradition. Meetings, workshops, and collaborative projects with families may help everyone understand each other better and assist bring cooperative practices into both home and school.

Another problem has to do with how to judge cooperative behaviors. Conventional assessment instruments in early childhood frequently fail to adequately capture social dynamics. Structured rating systems, narrative logs, and portfolios of children's cooperative work are all examples of observational approaches that can give a more detailed view. However, assessments should still be age-appropriate and focus on helping kids grow instead of putting them in groups or giving them grades. When kids do basic things like pick photographs that show how effectively the group worked together, they start to learn that working together is a talent and a value.

Even while study shows a good image, there are still certain problems that need to be solved. Realities in the classroom, such big groups, not enough time, and pressure to satisfy academic requirements, can make it hard to keep cooperative activities going. Kids who have unique educational requirements or who have been through trauma may find it hard to be in a group and need extra help. Furthermore, the current evidentiary base remains inadequate in several aspects. Numerous research depend on short-term interventions and fail to offer longitudinal evidence about the sustainability of cooperative gains. Cultural variety in samples is also not evenly distributed, with too many examples coming from Western backgrounds. Subsequent research should aim for more varied and enduring designs, including both quantitative and qualitative methodologies to encompass behavioral change and children's subjective experiences.

The development of cooperative behaviors in early infancy is a complex process influenced by individual maturity and the quality of social surroundings. Teaching methods that focus on cooperative play, working together to solve problems, doing projects, and having reflective conversations may greatly improve how well kids can cooperate with others. These strategies work best when adults show how to work together, carefully set up interactions, make sure that everyone feels safe, and work with families to make sure that expectations are the same in all situations.

Cooperation is not a secondary or optional aspect of early education; rather, it is a fundamental basis for subsequent academic success, social integration, and civic participation. Kids who learn how to work together, express their points of view, and take responsibility for the results of their activities are better prepared to face the demands of school, job, and community life. For this reason, educational policies and institutional practices should clearly state that teaching kids how to work together is a main aim of early childhood curriculum.

To achieve this objective, continuous investment in teacher education, classroom resources, and research is essential. Professional development should give teachers both academic knowledge and real-world tools for encouraging cooperation. Research has to persist in enhancing assessment instruments, investigating cultural disparities, and recording enduring effects. By combining these efforts, stakeholders may work toward educational systems where collaboration is not only taught but also practiced every day. This will help create caring, responsible, and cooperative citizens from a young age.

## REFERENCES

330 p.

5. Damon W., Lerner R. M., Eisenberg N. (eds.). *Handbook of Child Psychology. Vol. 3: Social, Emotional, and Personality Development.* – 6th ed. – Hoboken, NJ: Wiley, 2006. – 1102 p.
6. Rogoff B. *Apprenticeship in Thinking: Cognitive Development in Social Context.* – New York: Oxford University Press, 1990. – 242 p.
7. Rubin K. H., Bukowski W. M., Parker J. G. *Peer Interactions, Relationships, and Groups* // Damon W., Lerner R. M., Eisenberg N. (eds.). *Handbook of Child Psychology. Vol. 3.* – 6th ed. – Hoboken, NJ: Wiley, 2006. – P. 571–645.
8. Johnson D. W., Johnson R. T. *Cooperation and Competition: Theory and Research.* – Edina, MN: Interaction Book Company, 1989. – 259 p.
9. Slavin R. E. *Cooperative Learning: Theory, Research, and Practice.* – 2nd ed. – Boston: Allyn and Bacon, 1995. – 284 p.
10. Brownell C. A., Kopp C. B. (eds.). *Socioemotional Development in the Toddler Years: Transitions and Transformations.* – New York: Guilford Press, 2007. – 386 p.
11. Caprara G. V., Pastorelli C., Regalia C., Scabini E., Bandura A. *Impact of Adolescent Prosocial Behavior on Adult Outcomes* // *European Psychologist.* – 2005. – Vol. 10, No. 4. – P. 199–207.
12. Hyson M., Taylor J. L. *Caring About Caring: What Adults Can Do to Promote Young Children's Prosocial Skills* // *Young Children.* – 2011. – Vol. 66, No. 4. – P. 102–109.
13. Killen M., Smetana J. G. *Origins and Development of Morality* // Lerner R. M., Liben L. S., Mueller U. (eds.). *Handbook of Child Psychology and Developmental Science. Vol. 2.* – 7th ed. – Hoboken, NJ: Wiley, 2015. – P. 701–749.
14. Siraj I., Kingston D., Melhuish E. *Social Class and Educational Inequalities: The Role of Parents and Schools.* – Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2015. – 258 p.

15. Bodrova E., Leong D. J. Tools of the Mind: The Vygotskian Approach to Early Childhood Education. – 2nd ed. – Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson, 2007. – 237 p.